Scenario >	A	B	C	D
Central Theme	Public input from November 2012 workshop	Current planning assumptions	Foothill growth to City of Fresno	Foothill growth to existing communities
Proposed By…	Public	Member Agencies	RTP Round Table	Coalition of Community Organizations
Defining Characteristics	• Considers public input from November 2012 workshop • Growth in the metro area conforms to historical trend • Some rural communities receive much higher growth	• Follows current general and specific plan updates • Growth allocation follows historical trend • Includes development in Friant Ranch, Millerton, and the proposed pharmacy school	• Additional 4% of countywide growth allocated to City of Fresno along corridors and activity centers • Unincorporated growth constrained to 10 existing communities; little change in incorporated cities • Development in Friant Ranch, Millerton, and the proposed pharmacy school not included	• Developed by coalition of community organizations • Increased redevelopment and higher density for new growth • Growth reduced from the foothill communities and reallocated to existing cities and communities • Development in Friant Ranch, Millerton, and the proposed pharmacy school not included
Communities with Significant Changes in Growth Allocation*	**Less Growth** • Clovis, Coalinga, Parlier, Sanger • Auberry, Friant Ranch, Millerton, Shaver Lake **More Growth** • Firebaugh, Fresno, Huron, Kerman, Kingsburg, Orange Cove, San Joaquin • Caruthers, Easton, Lanare, Laton, Raisin City, Riverdale, Squaw Valley	Each city/community receives growth based on historical trend	**No Growth** • Auberry, Friant Ranch, Millerton, Raisin City, Squaw Valley **More Growth** • Fresno	**No Growth** • Friant Ranch, Millerton **Less Growth** • Auberry **More Growth** • Biola, Bowles, Caruthers, Del Rey, Easton, Lanare, Laton, Raisin City, Riverdale, Tranquillity

*Compared to planning assumptions based on historical trend. Cities/communities not listed will receive growth approximately consistent with historical trend.