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# Local Streets and Roads Maintenance/Rehabilitation Crisis Fact Sheet 

FACT: Approximately $93 \%$ of all home to work commute trips in Fresno County occur in a car or a truck.

FACT: Economic prosperity in the Fresno County region will result in more Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT) which translates to increased dependence on local streets and roads.

FACT: $\quad$ The San Joaquin Valley is one of the fast growing regions in population in the state Additional population translates into additional VMT on the local street and road network and increased "wear and tear" on our local streets and roads.

FACT: $\quad$ The average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) for local streets and roads statewide is 66 . This rating is considered to be in the "at- risk" category.

FACT: Only 56\% of California's local streets and roads are in good condition.
FACT: $\quad 25 \%$ of California's streets and roads will be in a failed condition by 2024 under existing funding levels.

FACT: The 10 year funding shortfall statewide for local streets and roads is $\$ 7.7$ billion.

FACT: $\quad$ Cities and counties are estimated to spend $\$ 1.65$ billion annually on pavement. This is only $0.88 \%$ of the total invested in the pavement network.

FACT: The gas tax is the single largest funding source for maintaining roads in cities and counties, yet this source is projected to decline statewide and nationally.

FACT: Cities and counties receive more than $50 \%$ of their pavement funding from the state.

FACT: $\quad$ The total statewide local bridge needs are estimated at $\$ 4.3$ billion over the next 10 years.

FACT: An additional $\$ 90$ million/year is needed statewide to ensure that no more than $20 \%$ of the state's bridges are structurally deficient.
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## FUNDING SOURCES

## Raises $\$ 3.6$ Billion in New Revenue

- $\$ 500$ Million from CPI adjustments
- $\$ 300$ Million from 11 cent diesel tax increase
- $\$ 2$ Billion from $\$ 65$ per year vehicle fee
- $\$ 100$ Million in Caltrans efficiencies
- $\$ 500$ Million in Cap \& Trade Funding ( 1 time?)

Plus $\mathbf{\$ 8 7 9}$ Million in One Time Loan Repayments

- \$265 Million for transit and intercity rail
- $\$ 334$ Million for trade corridors,
- $\$ 148$ Million to local traffic congestion relief - $\$ 132$ Million in state highway repairs.


## \$3.9 Billion in New Road Funding: Beall (SBX1-1)

- $\$ 1.8$ Billion -12 cents $/$ gal increase on motor fuels
- $\$ 572$ Million - 22 cents/gal on diesel fuels
- \$1.5 Billion-New $\$ 35$ vehicle registration fee and another $\$ 35$ fee for road access ( $\$ 100$ for Zero Emission Vehicles)
Legislative Proposals from
Democrats

Legislative Proposals from Republicans

## \$1 Billion in Restored Weight Fees (ABX1-1);

- \$1 Billion in weight fees remain in State Highway Account
\$700 Million Transit Funding: (SBX1-7 \& 8) (ABX1-7 \& 8)
- \$400 Million by doubling allocations from Cap \& Trade for Intercity Rail and Low Carbon Transit programs
- $\$ \mathbf{3 0 0}$ Million (estimated) from $3.5 \%$ increase on diesel fuel sales tax for State Transit Account


## Active Transportation Program (SBX1-23)

- $\$ 125$ Million redirected to ATP from State Hwy. Account


## Senate Bills Redirect \$1.3 Billion in Existing Revenues

- \$1.3 Billion (est.)* in Cap and Trade (proposals overlap)
- Redirect all cap and trade funds derived from motor vehicle fuels to transportation (SBX1-2)
- Redirect $65 \%$ of cap and trade proceeds (approximate motor fuel contribution) to CTC (SBX1-6)
Assembly Bills Redirect \$4.4 Billion in Existing Revenues
- $\$ 500$ Million $-25 \%$ of Cap \& Trade to SHOPP (ABX1-17)*
- \$1 Billion from rededicating Weight Fees (ABX1-18)
- \$200 Million from AHSC (ABX1-13)
- \$1 Billion annually from General Fund (ABX1-14)
- $\$ 685$ Million by eliminating vacant positions (ABX1-20)
- $\$ 500$ Million by redirecting capital outlay (ABX1-15)


## EXPENDITURES

## POLICIES \& REFORMS

## New Road Maintenance \& Rehab Account (RMRA)

## ... \$1.8 Billion for State Programs

- $\$ 1.6$ Billion to SHOPP
- $\$ 200$ Million for Goods Movement (TCIF)
-- \$1.8 Billion for Local Programs
- $\$ 1.050$ Billion to local streets and roads
- $\$ 250$ Million to State-Local Partnership for any county with a dedicated transportation fee
- $\$ 400$ Million commuter rail \& low carbon transit
- $\$ 100$ Million-Local complete street program


## Road Maintenance \& Rehab. Account (SBX1-1)

- $\$ 300$ Million to Goods Movement via TCIF program (from extra 10 cents/gal on diesel fuel)
- $5 \%$ (est. $\$ 180$ Million) incents new local sales taxes
- Remaining $\$ 3.4$ Billion split equally for SHOPP and to cities and counties for local streets and roads
- CTC oversight of fund expenditures

Weight Fee \& Transit Funding: Per existing State Highway Account and Cap and Trade programs
Active Transportation Program (SBX1-23)

- Funds redirected to current ATP;
- Also includes policy reform proposal in SBX1-1 related to STIP and SHOPP performance criteria.


## Senate Bill Methodologies

- \$1.3 Billion* in cap in trade (proposals overlap)
- Appropriated for transportation infrastructure annually, including streets and highways, but excludes high speed rail (SBX1-2)
- For priority projects; $40 \%$ state highways, $40 \%$ local streets and roads, \& 20\% transit (SBX1-6)


## Assembly Bill Methodologies

- \$1 Billion weight fees stay in State Hwy Account
- $\$ 500$ Million* $-\ldots 25 \%$ Cap \& Trade funds to SHOPP
- Remaining bills would evenly split funds:
- \$1.2 Billion for the SHOPP
- $\$ 1.2$ Billion for Local Streets and Roads
- Ballot initiative to protect revenues
- Indexes gas and diesel tax rates to CPI
- Eliminates fuel tax swap; restores pre-swap 18 cent excise rate
- CEQA exemption for repairs in ROW
- P3 extension for 10 years
- CM/GC extended to 12 projects
- Unspecified Caltrans efficiencies ( $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ )
- Advanced mitigation (\$30 M)

SBX1-1 (and SB 16 from regular session)

- Indexes gas and diesel tax rates to CPI
- Eliminates fuel tax swap; restores base rate
- Increase Caltrans efficiencies by $30 \%$ with savings dedicated to SHOPP maintenance


## Late Active Transportation Amends (SBX1-1)

- STIP \& SHOPP capital projects must address bike and pedestrian access unless excluded
- CTC develops criteria for STIP \& SHOPP to address GHG, social equity, public health, and effects on disadvantaged communities.
- CTC develops LSR criteria to measure PCI, bridge health, maintenance LOS, GHG, ATP benefits, and public health co-benefits.
- Ballot initiative to protect revenues (SCAX1-1)
- Eliminate sunset on P3 authority (SB1X-14)
- CEQA: exempt ROW repairs (SBX1-11) and prohibit enjoining construction (ABX1-21)
- Increases Caltrans contracting and limit use of temp funding for permanent positions (SB X1-9)
- Create Inspector General (SBX1-13)
- Convert STIP to regional grants (ABX1-10)
- Allow Design-Build (AB 1X-22)
- Remove CTC from CalSTA (SBX1-12; ABX1-19)
- Two county pilot for county operation of state highways (AB1X-16)


## History of the Base Gasoline Excise Tax Rate



Source: Board of Equalization. Escalation based on California State Highway Construction Cost Index and Consumer Price Index

## Crisis Grows As Vehicle Fuel Efficiency Accelerates Revenue Loss



In this conceptual chart, Vehicle Miles Traveled and Fuel Consumption have been indexed to the same starting point in 1994 to enable comparison of the relative change of the two metrics over time

Measure "C" Sales Tax Projections
Street/Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program

| Jurisdiction | Population |  | Road Mileage |  | Funding \% | 1/2 Cent Sales Tax Estimates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% of County | Miles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |  | Annually | 10 Years | 20 Years |
| City of Clovis | 108,039 | 10.97\% | 325.59 | 5.38\% | 9.57\% | \$6,914,167 | \$69,141,666 | \$138,283,333 |
| City of Coalinga | 16,667 | 1.69\% | 38.78 | 0.64\% | 1.43\% | \$1,032,505 | \$10,325,053 | \$20,650,107 |
| City of Firebaugh | 8,154 | 0.83\% | 21.82 | 0.36\% | 0.71\% | \$513,623 | \$5,136,228 | \$10,272,456 |
| City of Fowler | 5,944 | 0.60\% | 34.86 | 0.58\% | 0.60\% | \$430,924 | \$4,309,237 | \$8,618,473 |
| City of Fresno | 520,453 | 52.86\% | 1,674.86 | 27.66\% | 46.56\% | \$33,624,643 | \$336,246,425 | \$672,492,851 |
| City of Huron | 6,914 | 0.70\% | 14.02 | 0.23\% | 0.58\% | \$422,153 | \$4,221,529 | \$8,443,057 |
| City of Kerman | 14,366 | 1.46\% | 43.52 | 0.72\% | 1.27\% | \$920,054 | \$9,200,544 | \$18,401,088 |
| City of Kingsburgh | 12,101 | 1.23\% | 45.70 | 0.75\% | 1.11\% | \$801,952 | \$8,019,515 | \$16,039,030 |
| City of Mendota | 11,763 | 1.19\% | 52.66 | 0.87\% | 1.11\% | \$804,108 | \$8,041,080 | \$16,082,160 |
| City of Orange Cove | 9,220 | 0.94\% | 23.84 | 0.39\% | 0.80\% | \$578,288 | \$5,782,881 | \$11,565,763 |
| City of Parlier | 15,395 | 1.56\% | 27.77 | 0.46\% | 1.29\% | \$929,705 | \$9,297,047 | \$18,594,093 |
| City of Reedley | 25,999 | 2.64\% | 65.27 | 1.08\% | 2.25\% | \$1,624,856 | \$16,248,556 | \$32,497,111 |
| City of San Joaquin | 4,047 | 0.41\% | 20.86 | 0.34\% | 0.39\% | \$284,826 | \$2,848,261 | \$5,696,521 |
| City of Sanger | 26,024 | 2.64\% | 66.93 | 1.11\% | 2.26\% | \$1,631,180 | \$16,311,800 | \$32,623,600 |
| City of Selma | 24,844 | 2.52\% | 91.14 | 1.51\% | 2.27\% | \$1,638,446 | \$16,384,456 | \$32,768,912 |
| Unincorporated Area | 174,611 | 17.74\% | 3,507.87 | 57.93\% | 27.78\% | \$20,064,104 | \$200,641,042 | \$401,282,084 |
| Total | 984,541 | 100.00\% | 6,055.49 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | \$72,215,532 | \$722,155,320 | \$1,444,310,640 |

Notes:
Population estimates provide by the 2015 Dept. of Finance
Road mileage data provided by 2013 Caltrans data
Funding percentage equated at ( $0.75 \times$ Population $\%+0.25 \times$ Road Mile $\%$ )


[^0]:    Table 2.3 Summary of PCI Data by County (including Cities) for 2008-2014
    
    gince

