

# 2023-2024 Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program

# DRAFT INTERIM PROGRAM GUIDELINES

June 2022

2035 Tulare Street, Suite 201

Fresno, CA, 93721

559-233-4148

## **Table of Contents**

CMAQ PROGRAM OVERVIEW	3
PROGRAM FUNDING	5
PROJECT ELIGIBLITY CRITERIA	6
PROJECT CATEGORIES	
COST-EFFECTIVENESS	10
REGIONAL BID PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS	11
PROJECT DELIVERY AND OBLIGATION REQUIREMENTS	13
CMAQ SCORING CRITERIA RUBRIC	15
AIR QUALITY SCREENING CRITERIA	18
RESOLUTION SUPPORTING DELIVERY SCHEDULE	20
SAMPLE COUNCIL/BOARD RESOLUTION	21
CONTACT INFORMATION	22
APPENDIX A: PROGRAM GUIDANCE UNDER MAP-21	23
APPENDIX B: FHWA FUNDING GUIDANCE	23
APPENDIX C: REVISED GUIDANCE FOR OPERATING ASSSITANCE	23

This document was funded in part through grant[s] from the Federal Highway Administration [and Federal Transit Administration], U.S. Department of Transportation. The views and opinions of the authors [or agency] expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Department of Transportation.

## CMAQ PROGRAM OVERVIEW

#### **INTROUDCTION**

The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) program funds transportation projects or programs that contribute to attainment or maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS), including those that reduce ozone precursor emissions (including nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), volatile organic compounds (VOC), carbon monoxide (CO), and particulate matter (PM) emissions or PM precursor (e.g. NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from transportation.

In 1990, Congress amended the Clean Air Act (CAA) to accelerate NAAQS attainment. The amendments required further reductions in permissible tailpipe emissions, initiated more stringent control measures in nonattainment areas, and provided for a stronger link between transportation and air quality planning. In 1991, Congress adopted the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA). This law authorized the CMAQ program to provide funding for surface transportation and related projects that contribute to air quality improvements and congestion mitigation. The CAA amendments, ISTEA, and the CMAQ program were intended to focus transportation planning toward a more inclusive, environmentally sensitive, and multimodal approach to addressing transportation problems. Congress has reaffirmed its commitment to air quality/transportation funding in each Surface Transportation Act reauthorization since 1991, and the program is authorized in Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act).

Fresno COG, acting in its role as a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), is programming the future federal transportation revenues that will come to the Fresno region. CMAQ funds are reimbursable federal aid funds, subject to the requirements of Title 23, United States Code. Eligible costs include preliminary engineering, right-of-way acquisition, capital costs, and constructions costs association with an eligible activity.

#### PROGRAM PURPOSE

The CMAQ program enables communities to build public awareness about the link between transportation and air quality, fund technological applications to improve transportation systems, or increase transit services, as a few examples. Most of the CMAQ project categories include a wide variety of measures to decrease vehicle emissions. Policy considerations **exclude** highway maintenance and reconstruction projects because these activities preserve existing levels of service and are unlikely to contribute to further improvements in air quality. Projects nominated for CMAQ funding **must** show positive air pollution emission reductions.

#### FUNDING ELIGIBILITY

Project applicants are either a public agency, i.e., city, county, special district, Caltrans, transit operator, transit authority or a non-profit agency with a public agency sponsorship. Successful project applicants, or their sponsors, must have executed a master agreement with Caltrans or be a Federal Transit Administration (FTA) eligible grant applicant, or have an agreement with such an eligible agency to sponsor the project.

#### PROGRAM SCHEDULE WILL BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS

Once projects have been approved by the Fresno COG Policy Board, they must be included in the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) prior to reimbursement. The 2021-22 CMAQ Call-For-Projects will cover a four-year program of projects in the FTIP, covering federal fiscal years 2022/23, 2023/24, 2024/25, and 2025/26. The following tentative schedule lists the major milestones for the

development and adoption of the 2021-22 CMAQ Call-For-Projects and related FTIP and Air Quality Conformity Determination processes:

Programming subcommittee convenes to review scoring criteria and call information	February-May 2021
Guidelines, criteria, and application packet presented to TTC/PAC/Board for approval and initiation of Call-For-Projects	June 2021
Application workshop for member agencies	July 15, 2021
Regional bid project submittals due	September 17, 2021
CMAQ scoring committee convenes	Week of December 13, 2021
<i>Targeted performance program</i> project submittals and financial plan due	February 25, 2022
COG Policy Board approves recommended projects via resolution	January 27, 2022
Projects programed into 2023 FTIP	March-April 2022
Submittal of FTIP to Caltrans and anticipated FHWA approval of 2023 FTIP/ Conformity Analysis	Fall 2022

## **PROGRAM FUNDING**

#### **DISTRIBUTION**

Fresno COG will use at least 95% of its CMAQ apportionment to fund a CMAQ regional bid competitive program. The remaining apportionment may be used for projects on the current contingency list, post-programming adjustment requests, EPSP requests, or retain the funding for future programming cycles. Fresno COG will manage the remaining apportionment based on project delivery of the current federal fiscal year to ensure funds are obligated in a timely manner and will follow Fresno COG's adopted project delivery policy and procedures outlined in the associated document.

#### 2023-24 AVAILABLE FUNDING SUBJECT TO CHANGE

For the 2023-24 Cycle, Fresno COG estimates that a total \$##,###,### will be available in the *regional bid* funding pot. <u>These numbers are subject to change.</u>

As approved by the Fresno COG Policy Board, the proposed category targets\* are as follows:

- Transit Improvements 18.5%
- Cleaner Fuel Technology 8%
- Traffic Flow Improvements 22.5%
- PM2.5/10 Reducing 25%\*\*
- Active Transportation Projects\*\*\* (Formerly Bike/Ped) 25%
- Miscellaneous 1%

\*Funding across all categories must meet the Fresno COG cost-effectiveness commitment

\*\*The MAP-21 Act requires PM<sub>2.5</sub> nonattainment or maintenance areas, such as the San Joaquin Valley, use at least 25% of CMAQ funds for project that have a PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission reduction

\*\*\*Active transportation projects must follow CMAQ eligibility guidance which are detailed under the project category heading

#### FUNDING REQUIREMENTS

*Matching Requirements:* Most federal projects require a local match of 11.47%.

Authorization to Proceed and Reimbursement: Local agencies must follow Caltrans' guidance and procedures for project authorization to proceed (Chapter 3) and invoicing (Chapter 5). All guidance and procedures can be found in the Local Assistance Procedures Manual located at the following link: <a href="https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/guidelines-and-procedures/local-assistance-procedures-manual-lapm">https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/guidelines-and-procedures/local-assistance-procedures-manual-lapm</a>

## **PROJECT ELIGIBLITY CRITERIA**

This guidance for project eligibility is based on FHWA's Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Improvement Program under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (MAP-21) Act Interim Program Guidance dated November 12, 2013. A copy of the guidance is available in Appendix A.

All projects and programs eligible for CMAQ funds must come from a conforming Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and be consistent with the transportation conformity provisions contained in section 176(C) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and the Transportation Conformity Rule. Projects need to be included in TIPs or state- wide transportation improvement projects developed by MPOs or states respectively, under the metropolitan or statewide planning regulations. Projects also need to complete the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements and meet basic eligibility requirements for funding under titles 23 and 49 of the United States Code. Projects must comply with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements, and provisions for Buy America.

Project applicants are either a public agency, i.e. city, county, special district, Caltrans, transit operator, transit authority or a non-profit agency with a public agency sponsorship. Successful project applicants, or their sponsors, must have executed a master agreement with Caltrans or be a Federal Transit Administration (FTA) eligible grant applicant, or have an agreement with such an eligible agency to sponsor the project.

In cases where specific guidance is not provided, the following should guide CMAQ eligibility decisions.

#### CAPITAL INVESTMENT

CMAQ funds may be used to establish new or expanded transportation projects or programs that reduce emissions, including capital investments in transportation infrastructure, congestion relief efforts, diesel engine retrofits, or other capital projects. *Please refer to Appendix C for the Revised Interim Guidance on CMAQ Operating Assistance under MAP-21, issued in July 2014.* 

#### MAP-21 PRIORITY SETASIDE FOR PM2.5 AREAS

Any state that has a  $PM_{2.5}$  nonattainment or maintenance area, including those with approved SIPs that identify on-road mobile sources as insignificant for regional transportation conformity, is required under MAP-21 to invest a portion of its CMAQ funding in projects that reduce  $PM_{2.5}$  directly or its precursors. More specifically, 25 percent of the funds attributable to  $PM_{2.5}$  nonattainment in each of the affected states must be used for projects targeting  $PM_{2.5}$  reductions. In addition, the legislation highlights diesel retrofits as a primary example of such related projects. Fresno COG falls under this guidance and will ensure 25% of the *regional bid* project funding will target  $PM_{2.5}$  reductions through its category targets.

#### EMISSIONS REDUCTION REQUIRMENT

Air quality improvement is defined by several distinct terms in 23 U.S.C. §149. These terms include contribution to attainment, pollution reduction, air quality benefits, and others. For purposes of this guidance, emission reductions represent this group of terms. CMAQ-invested projects or programs must reduce CO, ozone precursor (NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs), PM, or PM precursor (e.g., NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from transportation. These reductions must contribute to the area's overall clean air strategy and can be demonstrated by the assessment that is required under this guidance. States and MPOs also may consider the ancillary benefits of eligible projects, including greenhouse gas reductions, congestion relief, safety, or other elements, when programming CMAQ funds, though such benefits do not alone establish eligibility.

#### PLANNING AND PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

Activities in support of eligible projects also <u>may</u> be appropriate for CMAQ investments. Studies that are part of the project development pipeline (e.g., preliminary engineering) under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) are eligible for CMAQ support, as are FTA's Alternatives Analyses. General studies that fall outside specific project development do not qualify for CMAQ funding. Examples of such efforts include major investment studies, commuter preference studies, modal market polls or surveys, transit master plans, and others. These activities are eligible for federal <u>planning</u> funds, not CMAQ funds.

#### PROJECTS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR CMAQ FUNDING

The following projects are ineligible for CMAQ funding:

- Light-duty vehicle scrappage programs.<sup>1</sup>
- Projects that add new capacity for single occupancy vehicles (SOVs) are ineligible for CMAQ funding unless construction is limited to high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes.
- Routine maintenance and rehabilitation projects (e.g., replacement-in-kind of track or other equipment, reconstruction of bridges, stations, and other facilities, and repaving or repairing roads) Other funding sources, such as STP and FTA's Section 5307 program, are available for such activities.
- Administrative costs may not be defrayed with program funds, e.g., support for a State's "CMAQ Project Management Office" is not eligible.
- Projects that do not meet the specific eligibility requirements of titles 23 and 49 U.S.C. are ineligible.
- Stand-alone projects to purchase fuel.

For complete information on project eligibility please see: The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Improvement Program under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act Interim Program Guidance November 12, 2013.

A copy of the guidance is available in Appendix A

#### **BUY AMERICA**

Buy America provisions ensure that transportation infrastructure projects are built with American-made products. That means that the Department of Transportation investments are able to support an entire supply chain of American companies and their employees. If your project is selected for CMAQ funding, and requires compliance with Buy America, you will need to work with Caltrans Local Assistance to ensure all provisions of Buy America are met.

MAY BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS TO REFLECT IIJA GUIDANCE

<sup>1</sup> 23 U.S.C. §149(b)

## **PROJECT CATEGORIES**

The CMAQ program funds transportation projects or programs that will contribute to improved air quality standards. Fresno COG continues to pursue funding a balanced program of transportation projects that will improve air quality in our region. Projects are compared, scored, and funded within the same category. *May Expand Under IIJA* 

#### TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS

- New transit facilities if they are associated with new or enhanced transit service.
- New transit vehicles (bus, rail, van) to expand the fleet.
- Existing fleet vehicles' replacement or retrofits.
- Operating assistance to support new transit services (maximum of 5 years).
- Subsidies for regular transit fares, but only if the reduced or free fare is part of an overall program for preventing exceedances of an air quality standard during periods of high pollutant levels.

#### **CLEANER FUEL TECHNOLOGY**

- Alternative fuel vehicles (school buses, refuse vehicles, trucks, etc.).
- On-site fueling facilities and other infrastructure needed to fuel alternative-fuel vehicles.
- Diesel retrofits (non-transit)

Note: CMAQ funding for vehicles that serve general government operations (e.g., police and firefighting) is limited to the incremental cost difference between standard and alternative fuel vehicles. For public fleet alternative fuel vehicles that provide a dominant transportation function, the full vehicle is eligible for participation. Please see Appendix B for the April 6, 2011 FHWA memo and the May 1, 2012 FHWA clarification.

Note: Most projects funded under this category must comply with Buy America requirements.

#### TRAFFIC FLOW IMPROVEMENTS

- Projects to develop, establish, and implement the congestion management system for both highway and transit facilities.
- Traffic signal and/or intersection modernization, coordination, or synchronization projects designed to improve traffic flow within a corridor or throughout an area.
- New traffic signal or roundabout projects designed to improve traffic flow at a congested intersection within a corridor or throughout an area.
- Operating expenses that can be shown to: (1) have air quality benefits, (2) result from new or additional services, and (3) not displace previous funding mechanisms, such as fares or fees for services (maximum of 5 years).

#### **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION**

- Construction of active transportation infrastructure that <u>connects key travel demand destinations</u>.
- Complete streets projects that incorporate active transportation components.
- Non-construction projects related to safe bicycle use.

#### PARTICULATE MATTER (PM-2.5/10) REDUCING

- PM-2.5/10 efficient street sweepers.
- Paving/stabilizing unpaved roads and/or shoulders and other particulate matter reduction projects

#### **MISCELLANEOUS**

Projects that do not fit under the other category descriptions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Travel demand management, including activities ranging from carpool and vanpool programs to parking management and road pricing measures.
- Outreach activities, such as public education on transportation and air quality, advertising transportation alternatives to SOV travel, and technical assistance to employers or other outreach activities relating to promoting non-SOV travel.
- Marketing programs to broaden transportation alternatives' appeal to SOV travel and public education campaigns involving the link between transportation and air quality.
- Carpool and vanpool programs include computer matching of individuals seeking to carpool and employer outreach to establish rideshare programs and meet CAA requirements.
- New or expanded rideshare programs, such as new locations for matching services, upgrades for computer matching software, etc.
- Purchasing or leasing vehicles for vanpool activities (five-year maximum for operating costs).
- Planning, technical and feasibility studies, training, coordination, marketing and promotion of telecommuting are eligible activities under CMAQ.
- Intermodal freight facilities.
- Project development activities that lead to construction of facilities or new services and programs with air quality benefits, such as preliminary engineering or project planning studies.

For complete information on project eligibility please see Appendix A: *The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Improvement Program under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act Interim Program Guidance November 12, 2013* 

#### COST-EFFECTIVE MAY BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS

Cost-effective projects are those that meet the <u>\$63 per pound</u> (\$126,000 per ton) cost- effectiveness threshold. Project cost-effectiveness is determined by the California Air Resource Board's (CARB) Air Quality Cost-Effectiveness Calculations Methodology or other method, dependent on project type.

Additional information and links are available in the next section.

## **COST-EFFECTIVENESS**

#### POLICY MAY BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS

All eight of the San Joaquin Valley Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) adopted policies for distributing at least 20% of CMAQ funds to projects that meet a cost-effectiveness threshold for emission reductions beginning in FY 2011. To meet and exceed this commitment, Fresno COG will aim to use a minimum of 25% of the regional bid program to fund cost-effective projects.

Prior to allocating CMAQ funds with RTP/ FTIP updates, the SJV MPOs will develop the costeffectiveness threshold. The threshold is \$63 per pound (\$126,000 per ton). This threshold was updated in April of 2021 to account for the reduction in emission factors since 2016 and for inflation and Consumer Price Index changes since 2016. The threshold is routinely evaluated by Fresno COG's air quality consultant, Trinity Consultants, during each FTIP and/or RTP development.

#### METHODOLOGY MAY BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS

The methodology for calculating cost-effectiveness is the California Air Resources Board's (CARB) 2005 *Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects*. The CARB website has an automated Microsoft Access calculator tool and a written text of the methodology.

Cost-effectiveness for CMAQ projects should be expressed as dollars spent per pound of pollutant reduced (VOC +  $NO_x$  + PM). CO emissions are not included in the formula due to CO being several orders of magnitude larger than ozone precursors which overwhelms cost-effectiveness ratios unless CO emission reductions are scaled back significantly, typically by a factor of seven. Furthermore, Fresno County is not currently under non-attainment or maintenance for CO.

As indicated in the policy, **cost-effectiveness is based on CMAQ dollars only** (vs. total project costs which include capital investments and operating costs).

The funding dollars are amortized over the expected project life using a discount rate. The amortization formula yields a capital recovery factor, which, when multiplied by the funding, gives the annual funding for the project over its expected lifetime. Cost-effectiveness is determined by dividing annualized funds by annual emission reductions (VOC+  $NO_x$  + PM).

The Capital Recovery Factor is found on the chart on page 2 of the 2005 ARB "Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects".

Additionally, some projects use emission factors, which are published by CARB and most recently updated in November of 2021.

All information related to cost-effectiveness is available on the following Fresno COG webpage: <u>https://www.fresnocog.org/emission-calculation-guidelines/</u>

#### EXAMPLE FORMULA

Cost-Effectiveness = (Capital Recovery Factor *x* CMAQ Funding) / (VOC + NO<sub>x</sub> + PM) dollars/pound

## **REGIONAL BID PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS**

In administering a competitive selection process, Fresno COG will use a scoring committee to assist in evaluating project applications. The scoring committee will prioritize and rank all eligible, submitted applications based on the approved scoring criteria and category targets. The scoring committee's project recommendations will be presented to Fresno COG's TTC, PAC, and Policy Board for approval.

#### **GENERAL PROGRAM INTENT**

The CMAQ program provides funding for transportation projects or programs that will reduce transportation-related emissions and assist Fresno COG in meeting its local, state, and federal performance goals. The Fresno COG CMAQ program provides for a balanced program of transportation projects that will improve our air quality. Projects that reduce single occupancy vehicle trips, provide congestion relief measures, provide low- polluting transit vehicles and equipment, and promote improvements geared toward providing a more efficient and safer transportation system are emphasized. In choosing to fund CMAQ projects, Fresno COG can improve air quality and progress toward attainment status and ensuring compliance with the Clean Air Act's transportation conformity provisions. The overall CMAQ program is aimed to meet the performance of our long-term planning goals.

#### CMAQ SCORING COMMITTEE REPRESENTATION

- 1. Westside Cities
- 2. Eastside Cities
- 3. Fresno Council of Governments
- 4. Fresno-Clovis Metropolitan Area (rotates between Fresno and Clovis representatives)
- 5. Fresno County
- 6. Caltrans
- 7. San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
- 8. Fresno County Transit Providers (rotates between Fresno Area Express, Clovis Transit, and Fresno County Rural Transit Agency)

Note: City of Clovis and Clovis Transit will represent the two rotating positions in 2023.

#### **GENERAL SCORING PROCESS**

During the meeting of the Scoring Committee, scorers will discuss, score, and rank all projects within a category and against only projects from that category. Projects would then be funded to the target within the category. This process will repeat for all categories. The Scoring Committee reserves the right for flexibility around the targets, to account for circumstances including, but not limited to, project costs or submitted project variability or quality.

The scoring committee has discretion to recommend partial funding, scaled options, pre-construction funding, or over subscribing the program if apportionment funds are available.

#### STATE AND FEDERAL COMMITMENTS DURING THE SCORING PROCESS

The eight San Joaquin Valley MPOs have a federal commitment to a minimum 20% cost-effectiveness to their CMAQ programs. Therefore, Fresno COG aims to achieve a minimum of 25% cost-effectiveness in its regional bid program, which equates to roughly 23.75% of total CMAQ apportionment. After the prioritization and recommendation of projects for each category by the Scoring Committee, staff will

ensure that cost-effectiveness commitments have been met. There will not be set targets for cost-effectiveness within the individual categories.

The MAP-21 Act requires  $PM_{2.5}$  nonattainment or maintenance areas use at least 25% of CMAQ funds for project that have a  $PM_{2.5}$  emission reduction. Fresno COG, as a result, has adopted a 25% funding target for the  $PM_{2.5}$  reducing category. After the prioritization and recommendation of projects for each category by the Scoring Committee, staff will ensure that  $PM_{2.5}$  commitments have been met. Projects funded in other categories will be considered towards this goal if they reduce substantially reduce  $PM_{2.5}$ .

#### ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS

Per approved Fresno COG policy, non-member agencies are limited to no more than a combined 15% of the regional bid amount.

#### PROJECT APPLICATION WILL BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS

The CMAQ project application will be available at: <u>https://www.fresnocog.org/project/congestion-mitigation-air-quality-cmaq-program/</u>.

Agencies may submit a maximum of 10 projects for consideration in the CMAQ Regional Bid process.

#### CONTACT AND SUBMITTAL APPLICATION WILL BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS

Regional bid applications must be submitted by 12:00 pm on Friday, September 17, 2021, and targeted performance program applications by 4:00 pm on Friday, February 25, 2022. If you are applying for Regional Bid funds, please send 10 hardcopies and one electronic copy of each application. For the targeted performance program, please send one electronic copy of each application. All correspondence should be mailed to:

Fresno Council of Governments Attention: Braden Duran; Associate Regional Planner, 2021-22 CMAQ Call-For-Projects 2035 Tulare Street, Suite 201 Fresno, CA 93721

For further information on eligible projects, submittals of applications, or other questions related to the CMAQ program, please contact Braden Duran at 559-233-4148 ext. 217 or <u>bduran@fresnocog.org</u>

## **PROJECT DELIVERY AND OBLIGATION REQUIREMENTS**

#### PROJECT DELIVERY

All CMAQ projects must follow Fresno COG's project delivery policy and procedure document. (LINK)

Regional bid projects must be delivered in the programmed year specified and within the programming years of the FTIP (covering federal fiscal years 2024/25, 2025/26, 2026/27, and 2027/28). Approval of AB 1012 requires that both State and Federal funds be used in a "timely" manner. In order to avoid losing any Federal or State funds to our Region, the "use it or lose it" requirements of AB 1012 place local governmental agencies in a position that they must be able to deliver their projects on time. That is, they must be able to meet their project delivery schedules as proposed and as programmed within the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP).

Because the issue of "project delivery is so important, the CMAQ Scoring Committee may take into consideration as a part of a project's "subjective" evaluation score (10 points), the local agency's ability to deliver projects in a timely manner (i.e., past performance/current ability to deliver projects rapidly).

Each agency must be able to assure that their project(s) can be delivered timely. Therefore, each application must be accompanied by a formal Council/Board/District Resolution stating that each project will meet project delivery schedules and that staff be directed to ensure that projects are delivered timely. Also included with each project application should be a Financial Plan and Project Submittal Checklist. A "Sample" Resolution has been prepared as a guide for helping prepare the required resolution(s) and the financial plan and project submittal checklist are included in the CMAQ application packet.

For awarded projects that received points in the Construction-Ready Projects and/or Expedited Project Delivery categories, the following project delivery rules will apply:

- Project must be obligated within the first two years of the programming cycle and must follow Fresno COG's adopted project delivery policy and procedures guidelines.
- No extension requests will be awarded.
- If construction for this project cannot be obligated by the awarded programming year, regional bid funding will be de-obligated and replaced with local funds.
   De-obligated funding will be added to the regional apportionment balance

#### **CONTIGENCY PROJECT LIST**

Fresno COG will adopt a list of projects for programming the regional bid program that is financially constrained with the amount of CMAQ funding available. In addition, Fresno COG will include a list of contingency projects, ranked in priority order based on the project's evaluation score. Fresno COG intends to fund projects on the contingency list should there be cost savings or if a project is deleted from the program. Projects on the contingency list may also be funded using available apportionment to ensure project delivery and regional needs of the current FFY are met. This contingency list will be in effect only until the adoption of the next programming cycle.

#### **OBLIGATION REQUIREMENTS**

**Federal requirements** (FAST ACT) - STP, CMAQ, and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funds (among other programs) must be obligated within 4 years of apportionment. Funds not obligated are lost to the state.

*State requirements* (*AB-1012*) - *CMAQ* and *STBG* (formerly *RSTP*) funds must be obligated within 3 years of apportionment. Funds not obligated are lost to the region.

**Regional Requirements** – Fresno COG requires regional deadline requirements, including obligation, award, and invoicing deadlines, to expedite project delivery and ensure funds are not lost to the region.

#### FTIP AMENDMENTS

Federal regulations require adherence to the projects and schedules contained within the adopted FTIP. Amendments are used to make necessary changes to projects within the FTIP. Amendment procedures are available in Fresno COG's FTIP document at <a href="https://www.fresnocog.org/project/federal-transportation-improvement-program-ftip/">https://www.fresnocog.org/project/federal-transportation-improvement-program-ftip/</a>

#### SCOPE CHANGES

All projects selected under the regional bid process are selected based on the scope at time of submittal and the project sponsor will be held to fulfill the project with that scope. Fresno COG has limited options for accommodating minor scope changes, and larger scope changes of regional bid projects may face project cancellation and CMAQ fund revocation. Fresno COG staff strongly encourages all agencies to submit projects with the utmost confidence that the identified scope can be delivered.

#### PROJECT COST SAVINGS

Project savings will be returned to the overall program and will be made available in the unprogrammed apportionment balance.

A local agency may transfer any cost savings between phases within the same project but cannot exceed the awarded amount of the total project. Cost increases must follow the post-programming adjustment guidelines and requirements. Any savings at project completion must be returned to the program proportionally. An agency is still responsible to meet federal local match requirements for all phases of the project.

#### POST PROGRAMMING ADJUSTMENTS

Project sponsors are responsible for all cost increases and must maintain the project delivery schedule. Some cost increases may be considered through a post programming adjustment request. Post-programming adjustments may be requested for up to 15% of the programmed federal cost per phase but may not exceed \$250,000. Cost increases will be considered based on the region's apportionment availability and project delivery each FFY.

## CMAQ SCORING CRITERIA RUBRIC

30-point range	Cost-Effectiveness Project cost-effectiveness is determined through various methods: <u>https://www.fresnocog.org/emission-calculation-guidelines/</u>			
	Range Factors	Projects will be evaluated on a relative basis (i.e., how they compare to each other). Note: Cost-effective projects are those that meet the \$63 per pound (\$126,000 per ton) cost- effectiveness threshold. During the scoring committee process, projects identified as cost- effective are scored and selected first.		
20-point range	<b>Congestion Relief</b> Project has impact on congestion and increases service capacity and/or reliability			
		Transit:		
	Range Factors	<i>HIGH Impact</i> : Significantly reduces transit vehicle crowding; increases service capacity significantly; Transportation Control Measures; increases service reliability significantly; a major interconnect or fare coordination project; bus turnouts at major intersections; intermodal facility accommodating major transfers; travel time reduction.		
		<i>MEDIUM Impact</i> : Increases service reliability in a minor capacity; a minor interconnect or fare coordination project; general bus turnouts; intermodal facility accommodating major transfers.		
		LOW Impact: Increases passenger comfort or convenience, bike racks.		
		Roads:		
	Range	<i>HIGH Impact:</i> Transportation Control Measures, signal coordination of multiple (>3) signals, gap closure projects, traffic operations system, left turn pockets, other intersection improvements and traffic flow improvements.		
	Factors	MEDIUM Impact: HOV lanes signal coordination, park-and-ride lots.		
		<i>LOW Impact:</i> New signals where none currently exist and are warranted by volume or delay, ramp metering with HOV bypasses (when shown not to adversely affect surface streets).		
		Bicycle/Pedestrian:		
	Range Factors	<i>HIGH Impact:</i> Transportation Control Measures, a facility that will primarily serve commuters and/or school sites, and sidewalks where none exist.		
		<i>MEDIUM Impact:</i> Public educational, promotional, and safety programs that promote non- motorized modes of transportation.		
		LOW Impact: Mixed use bicycle/pedestrian facility (recreation & commuter), usable sidewalk segments.		
20-point range	Air Pollutant Emissions Reduction Project incorporates transportation control measures, reduces volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxide and/or particulate matter emissions.			
	Range Factors	Projects will be evaluated on a relative basis; (i.e., how they compare to each other) based on the submitted air pollutant reductions of volatile organic compounds, oxides of nitrogen, and/or particulate matter.		

High Impact: Significantly reduces vehicle trips and VMT. MEDIUM Impact: Moderately reduces vehicle trips and VMT. No Impact: Does not reduce vehicle trips or VMT.           Projects will be evaluated on a relative basis, (i.e. how they compare to each other). The CMAQ Scoring Committee may take factors such as city population, project size/scale, project cost, and/or local impact into consideration when evaluating project impact.           Note: projects will be evaluated on a relative basis, (i.e. how they compare to each other). The CMAQ Scoring Committee may take factors such as city population, project size/scale, project cost, and/or local impact into consideration when evaluating project impact.           Note: projects what increases vehicle trips and/or VMT will receive zero points.           Subjective evaluation category allows the scorer the flexibility to decide that some aspect of the project that was not already considered thore subjective evaluation under subjective evaluation or the institution important factors including, but not limited to: <ul> <li>The scorer may consider other important factors including, but not limited to:                 <ul> <li>The scorer may consider other important factors insustons, and supports support for multimodal access, and enhancing connectivity of transportation systems.</li></ul></li></ul>	10-point range	<i>Trip Reduction</i> Project reduces vehicle trips and/or vehicle miles traveled (VMT).					
Factors       Projects with be evaluation are feature basis. (i.e. now they compare to be of Detrifule), infection of Detrifue Detrifue Consideration when evaluating project inspace.         10-point       Subjective Evaluation         Trage       Subjective Evaluation         10-point       The subjective evaluation category allows the scorer the flexibility to decide that some aspect of the project that was not already considered in prior criteria should, in fact, be given consideration. The items listed under the subjective evaluation category are examples only and the list is not meant to all-inclusive of what might be considered under subjective evaluation.         Image       The scorer may consider other important factors including, but not limprove spulling to fire, importance placed on the project by the project sponsor, support for multimodal access, and enhancing connectivity of transportation systems.         Projects which minimize the loss of prime farmland, unique farmland, farmland of statewide importance, and farmland of local importance.         Projects that increase safety, promote system management such as supporting other modes of transportation, reduces greenhouse gas emissions, and supports Sustainable Communities Strategies.         4-point       Since the issue of "timely project delivery" is so important, the CMAQ Scoring Committee may take into consideration, as a part of a project s'subjective' evaluation score, the local agency's ability to deliver projects on timely basis (i.e. past performance/current ability to deliver projects on schedule).         4-point       Project is requesting construction funding only and is committed to the delivery requirements as described in the guideli		Range	MEDIUM Impact: Moderately reduces vehicle trips and VMT. No Impact: Does not reduce vehicle trips or VMT.				
Subjective Evaluation           10-point range         The subjective evaluation category allows the scorer the flexibility to decide that some aspect of the project that was not already considered in prior criteria should, in fact, be given consideration. The items listed under the subjective category are examples only and the list is not meant to all-inclusive of what might be considered under subjective evaluation           Image: The scorer may consider other importance placed on the project by the project's sponsor, support for multimodal access, and enhancing connectivity of transportation systems.         Projects which minimize the loss of prime farmland, unique farmland, farmland of statewide importance, and farmland of local importance.           Range Factors         Projects that increase safety, promote energy conservation, improves quality of life, leverages other funds, promotes system management such as supporting other modes of transportation; reduces greenhouse gas emissions, and supports Sustainable Communities Strategies.           Since the issue of "timely project delivery" is so important, the CMAQ Scoring Committee may take into consideration, as a part of a project's "subjective" evaluation score, the local agency's ability to deliver projects on timely basis (i.e. past performance/current ability to deliver projects necturing waivers or other independent agency approval are excluded from this category unless applicant can demonstrate absolute certainty in delivering project on an expedited schedule.           4 Points         Project is requesting funds for construction only in the first year (2023/24) of the FTIP. PE and ROW documentation should be included in the application packet.           2 Points         Project is requiring waivers or other independent agenc			Scoring Committee may take factors such as city population, project size/scale, project cost, and/or				
10-point range         The subjective evaluation category allows the scorer the flexibility to decide that some aspect of the project that was not already considered in prior criteria should, in fact, be given consideration. The items listed under the subjective category are examples only and the list is not mean to all-inclusive of what might be considered under subjective evaluation           Image: The subjective category are examples only and the list is not mean to all-inclusive of what might be considered under subjective evaluation         The scorer may consider other important factors including, but not limited to:           Image: The subjective category are examples only and the list is not mean to all-inclusive of what might be considered under subjective evaluation         The scorer may consider other importance placed on the project sponsor, support for multimodal access, and enhancing connectivity of transportation systems.           Image: Project shift increase safety, promote energy conservation, improves quality of life, leverages other funds, promotes system management such as supporting other modes of transportation, reduces greenhouse gas emissions, and supports Sustainable Communities Strategies.           Image: Since the issue of "timely project delivery" is so important, the CMAQ Scoring Committee may take into consideration, as a part of a project "subjective" evaluation score, the local agency's ability to deliver projects on timely basis (i.e. past performance/current ability to deliver projects no schedule).           Image: Project is requesting construction funding only and is committed to the delivery requirements as described in the guidelines. Projects requesting funds for construction only in the first year (2022/23) of the FTIP. PE and ROW documentation should be included in the application packet			Note: projects that increases vehicle trips and/or VMT will receive zero points.				
Image: Second		The subjective evaluation category allows the scorer the flexibility to decide that some aspect of the project that was not already considered in prior criteria should, in fact, be given consideration. The items listed under the subjective category are examples only and the list is not meant to all-inclusive of what might be considered					
4-point range       Project is requesting construction funding only and is committed to the delivery requirements as described in the guidelines. Projects requesting points in this category will go through a Caltrans screening process.         Note:       Project is requiring waivers or other independent agency approval are excluded from this category unless applicant can demonstrate absolute certainty in delivering project on an expedited schedule.         4       Points       Project is requesting funds for construction only in the first year (2022/23) of the FTIP. PE and ROW documentation should be included in the application packet.         2       Points       Project is requesting funds for construction only in the first year (2023/24) of the FTIP. PE and ROW documentation should be included in the application packet.         6-point       Project applicant is committed to the expedited Project Delivery         Project applicant is committed to the expedited project delivery requirements as described in the guidelines.         Note:       Projects requiring waivers or other independent agency approval are excluded from this category unless applicant can demonstrate absolute certainty in delivering project on an expedited schedule.         6-point       Note:       Projects requiring waivers or other independent agency approval are excluded from this category unless applicant can demonstrate absolute certainty in delivering project on an expedited schedule.         6 Points       Project is committed to the expedited project delivery schedule, programmed within the first two years of the FTIP, and its subsequent delivery requirements. No documentation is required. All phases			<ul> <li>The prioritization importance placed on the project by the project's sponsor, support for multimodal access, and enhancing connectivity of transportation systems.</li> <li>Projects which minimize the loss of prime farmland, unique farmland, farmland of statewide importance, and farmland of local importance.</li> <li>Projects that increase safety, promote energy conservation, improves quality of life, leverages other funds, promotes system management such as supporting other modes of transportation; reduces greenhouse gas emissions, and supports Sustainable Communities Strategies.</li> <li>Since the issue of "timely project delivery" is so important, the CMAQ Scoring Committee may take into consideration, as a part of a project's "subjective" evaluation score, the local agency's ability to deliver projects on timely basis (i.e. past performance/current ability to</li> </ul>				
applicant can demonstrate absolute certainty in delivering project on an expedited schedule.         4 Points       Project is requesting funds for construction only in the first year (2022/23) of the FTIP. PE and ROW documentation should be included in the application packet.         2 Points       Project is requesting funds for construction only in the first year (2023/24) of the FTIP. PE and ROW documentation should be included in the application packet.         6-point       Project applicant is committed to the expedited Project Delivery         Note: Projects requiring waivers or other independent agency approval are excluded from this category unless applicant can demonstrate absolute certainty in delivering project on an expedited schedule.         6 Points       Project is committed to the expedited project delivery schedule, programmed within the first two years of the FTIP, and its subsequent delivery requirements. No documentation is required. All phases of project may be programmed.	-	the gu	s requesting construction funding only and is committed to the delivery requirements as described in uidelines. Projects requesting points in this category will go through a Caltrans screening process.				
4 Points       documentation should be included in the application packet.         2 Points       Project is requesting funds for construction only in the first year (2023/24) of the FTIP. PE and ROW documentation should be included in the application packet.         6-point       Project applicant is committed to the expedited project Delivery         Note: Projects requiring waivers or other independent agency approval are excluded from this category unless applicant can demonstrate absolute certainty in delivering project on an expedited schedule.         6 Points       Project is committed to the expedited project delivery requirements. No documentation is required. All phases of project may be programmed.							
6-point		4 Points					
6-point       Project applicant is committed to the expedited project delivery requirements as described in the guidelines.         Note: Projects requiring waivers or other independent agency approval are excluded from this category unless applicant can demonstrate absolute certainty in delivering project on an expedited schedule.         6 Points       Project is committed to the expedited project delivery schedule, programmed within the first two years of the FTIP, and its subsequent delivery requirements. No documentation is required. All phases of project may be programmed.		2 Points					
applicant can demonstrate absolute certainty in delivering project on an expedited schedule.         6 Points       Project is committed to the expedited project delivery schedule, programmed within the first two years of the FTIP, and its subsequent delivery requirements. No documentation is required. All phases of project may be programmed.	6-point		applicant is committed to the expedited project delivery requirements as described in the guidelines.				
6 Points years of the FTIP, and its subsequent delivery requirements. No documentation is required. All phases of project may be programmed.							
100 Total Points Available		6 Points	years of the FTIP, and its subsequent delivery requirements. No documentation is required. All				
			100 Total Points Available				

## **Potential Point Additions**

5-point range	<b>Targeted Performance Program Leveraging</b> Project is exceeding the minimum local match requirement with the use of targeted performance program funds.		
	5 Points	Project is leveraging additional TPP funding on top of the 11.47% local match to make the project 70% regional bid, 30% match.	
	3 Points	Project is leveraging additional TPP funding on top of the 11.47% local match to make the project 80% regional bid, 20% match.	

## **Potential Point Reductions**

-10 points	Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)		
	-10 Points	If the project is not on the constrained project list in the Fresno COG 2018 RTP, or submitted for the upcoming 2022 RTP, it will receive a ten-point deduction in this category.	
		Note: Exceptions will be made for projects submitted by applicant agencies who <u>do not</u> formally submit projects to the RTP.	

SCORING CRITIERIA/RUBRIC WILL BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS

## **AIR QUALITY SCREENING CRITERIA**

#### 1.00 SAFETY PROGRAMS

- 1.01 Railroad/Highway Crossing
- **1.02** Hazard Elimination Program
- **1.03** Safer non-Federal-aid system roads
- 1.04 Shoulder improvements
- 1.05 Increasing sight distance
- 1.06 Safety Improvement Program
- 1.07 Traffic control devices and operating assistance other than signalization projects.
- 1.08 Railroad/highway crossing warning devices
- 1.09 Guardrail, median barriers, crash cushions
- **1.10** Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation
- 1.11 Pavement marking demonstration
- **1.12** Emergency Relief (23 U.S.C. 125)
- 1.13 Fencing
- 1.14 Skid treatments
- 1.15 Safety roadside rest areas
- 1.16 Adding medians
- 1.17 Truck climbing lanes outside the urbanized area
- 1.18 Lighting improvements
- **1.19** Widening narrow pavements or reconstructing bridges (no additional travel lanes)
- **1.20** Emergency truck pullovers

#### 2.00 MASS TRANSIT

- 2.01 Operating assistance to transit agencies
- 2.02 Purchase of support vehicles
- 2.03 Rehabilitation of transit vehicles
- 2.04 Purchase of office, shop, and operating equipment for existing facilities
- 2.05 Purchase of operating equipment for vehicles (e.g., radios, fareboxes, lifts, etc.)
- 2.06 Construction of renovation of power, signal, and communications systems
- 2.07 Construction of small passenger shelters and information kiosks
- 2.08 Reconstructions of renovation of transit buildings and structures
- 2.09 Rehabilitation or reconstruction of track structures, track, and trackbed in exiting right-of-way
- 2.10 Purchase of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansion of fleet
- 2.11 Construction of new bus, rail storage/maintenance facilities categorically excluded (23 CFR 771)

#### 3.00 SAFETY PROGRAMS

- 3.01 Continuation of ridesharing and van-pooling promotion activities at current levels
- 3.02 Bicycle and pedestrian facilities

#### 4.00 LANDSCAPING/SIGNS

- 4.01 Specific activities which do not involve or lead directly to construction
- **4.05** Engineering to assess social, economical, and environmental effects of the proposed action or alternatives to that action
- 4.06 Noise attenuation
- 4.07 Emergency or hardship advance land acquisitions [23 CFR 712.204(d)]
- 4.08 Acquisition of scenic easements
- 4.09 Plantings, landscape, etc.
- 4.10 Sign Removal

- *4.11* Directional and informational signs
- **4.12** Transportation enhancement activities (except rehabilitation and operation of historic buildings, structures, or facilities)
- **4.13** Repair of damage caused by natural disasters, civil unrest, or terrorist acts, except projects involving substantial functional, locational, or capacity increase

#### 5.00 OTHER

- 5.01 Intersection channelization projects
- 5.02 Intersection signalization projects at individual intersections
- 5.03 Changes in vertical and horizontal alignment
- 5.04 Interchange reconfiguration projects
- **5.05** Truck size and weight inspection stations
- 5.06 Bus terminals and transfer points
- **5.07** Traffic signal synchronization

## **RESOLUTION SUPPORTING DELIVERY SCHEDULE**

Approval of AB 1012 requires that both State and Federal funds be used in a "timely" manner. In order to avoid losing any Federal or State funds to our Region, the "use it or lose it" requirements of AB 1012 place local governmental agencies in a position that they must be able to deliver their projects on time as proposed and as programmed within the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP).

Since the issue of "timely project delivery" is so important, the CMAQ Scoring Committee may take into consideration, as a part of a project's "subjective" evaluation score (10 points), the local agency's ability to deliver projects timely (i.e., past performance/current ability to deliver projects rapidly).

Each agency must be able to assure that their project(s) can be delivered timely. Therefore, **each** application must be accompanied by a formal Council/Board/District Resolution stating that each project will meet project delivery schedules and that staff be directed to ensure that projects are delivered timely. The attached "Sample" Resolution has been prepared as a guide for helping prepare the required resolution(s).

\*Please Note: Each Council/Board/District Resolution needs to contain <u>a list of the projects</u> being submitted for potential CMAQ funding.

## SAMPLE COUNCIL/BOARD RESOLUTION

#### BEFORE THE (NAME OF CITY/COUNTY/DISTRICT COUNCIL/BOARD) RESOLUTION NO. XXXX-\_\_\_\_

In the Matter of:

Project Delivery Schedules For Federal Transportation Project Selection Federal Transportation Act: FAST Act RESOLUTION SUPPORTING AND IMPLEMENTING TIMELY USE OF FUNDING

WHERAS, AB 1012 has been enacted into State Law in part to provide for the "timely use" of State and Federal funding; and

WHEREAS, the (City/County/District) is able to apply for and receive Federal and State funding under the Federal Transportation Act;

WHEREAS, the (City/County/District) desires to ensure that its projects (as listed or attached) are delivered in a timely manner to preclude the Fresno Region from losing those funds for non-delivery; and

WHEREAS, it is understood by the (City/County/District) that failure for not meeting project delivery dates for any phase of a project may jeopardize federal or state funding to the Region; and

WHEREAS, the (City/County/District) must demonstrate dedicated and available local matching funds; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the (Council/Board) hereby agrees to ensure that all project delivery deadlines for all project phases will be met or exceeded.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that failure to meet project delivery deadlines may be deemed as sufficient cause for the Fresno Council of Governments Policy Board to terminate an agency's project and reprogram Federal/State funds as deemed necessary.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the (City/County/District) (Council/Board) does direct its management and engineering staffs to ensure all projects are carried out in a timely manner as per the requirements of AB 1012 and the directive of the (City/County/District) (Council/Board).

THE FOREGOING RESOLTION was passed and adopted by the (Council/Board) on Month, Day, and Year.

AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT: ATTEST:

Signed:	
Mayor, City of ()	
Chair, Board of ()	
Chair, ( ) Board	

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of	of a resolution of the (	(Council/Board) duly adopted	at a regular
meeting thereof held on the	day of	(Month),	<u>(</u> Year).

## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

For further information on eligible projects, submittal of applications or other questions related to the CMAQ program, please contact Braden Duran at 559-233-4148 x 217 or <u>bduran@fresnocog.org</u>

Please submit ten hard copies and an electronic copy for regional bid. Please print on two sides. One copy should be loose bound- no staples. Do not use ring binders. Covers are accepted.

Fresno Council of Governments 2035 Tulare Street, Suite 201 Fresno, CA 93721 Attention: Braden Duran, Associate Regional Planner, 2021-22 CMAQ Call-For-Projects

Please submit all CMAQ Regional Bid applications by 12:00 PM, on Friday, September 14, 2021.

WILL BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS

#### APPENDIX A: PROGRAM GUIDANCE UNDER MAP-21

FHWA Program Guidance: The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Improvement Program under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act "*Interim Program Guidance*" November 12, 2013

Document can be accessed at the following link:

https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air quality/cmaq/policy and guidance/2013 guidance/

FHWA CMAQ Program Homepage:

https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air\_quality/cmaq/

### **APPENDIX B: FHWA FUNDING GUIDANCE**

<u>FHWA Memo</u>: April 6, 2011: Federal Cost Principles and CMAQ Alternative Fuel Vehicles Projects

<u>FHWA Letter:</u> May 1, 2012: Clarification of the Eligible uses of Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program Funds to Purchase Alternative Fueled Vehicles

Both documents are attached on the following page. (Will be attached in final guidance)

### **APPENDIX C: REVISED GUIDANCE FOR OPERATING ASSSITANCE**

Revised Interim Guidance on CMAQ Operating Assistance under MAP-21. July 2014.

Revised language can be accessed at the following link:

https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air quality/cmaq/policy and guidance/cmaq13ig.cfm

MAY BE UPDATED DURING NORMAL CYCLE PROCESS