

www.fresnocog.org

Fresno COG Policy Board SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES Thursday, June 9, 2022 5:30 PM

Members Attending:

Mayor Jose Flores, City of Clovis Mayor Ron Ramsey, City of Coalinga Mayor Brady Jenkins, City of Firebaugh Councilmember Daniel Parra, City of Fowler Mayor Jerry Dyer, City of Fresno Mayor Gary Yep, City of Kerman Councilmember Michelle Roman, City of Kingsburg Mayor Alma Beltran, City of Parlier Mayor Alma Beltran, City of Parlier Mayor Mary Fast, City of Reedley Mayor Eli Ontiveros, City of Sanger Mayor Julia Hernandez, City of San Joaquin Supervisor Sal Quintero, County of Fresno Tony Boren, Executive Director

Absent:

Mayor Rey Leon, City of Huron Mayor Rolando Castro, City of Mendota Mayor Victor Lopez, City of Orange Cove Mayor Scott Robertson, City of Selma Michael Navarro, Caltrans Bryan Rome, Legal Counsel

Quorum: At the start of the meeting, there were 11 members representing 94.09% of the population. There was a quorum to conduct business. (Clovis, Coalinga, Firebaugh, Fresno City, Kerman, Kingsburg, Parlier, Reedley, San Joaquin, Sanger, and Fresno County). Members Fowler, Huron, Mendota, Orange Cove, Selma absent.

The meeting was called to order at 5:32 p.m. by Councilmember Roman (Kingsburg), Chair.

I. <u>AB 361 -- COVID Contingency Board/Committee Meeting Format (Robert Phipps) [ACTION]</u>

Summary: On Sept. 15, 2021, Governor Newsom signed Assembly Bill (AB) 361, amending the Ralph M. Brown Act to allow local agency councils, boards and committees to continue conducting public meetings remotely during a state of emergency after Oct. 1, 2021, so long as they make specific findings every 30 days, and ensure conditions related to public participation are satisfied. Under the Brown Act, the Policy Board, TTC and PAC and other Fresno COG committees are considered "legislative bodies," according to the Fresno County Counsel's office.

Under the urgency legislation, a local agency may use the more "relaxed" Brown Act teleconferencing/videoconferencing requirements in any of the following circumstances:

- There is a proclaimed state of emergency, and state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing; or
- There is a proclaimed state of emergency, and the local agency's meeting is to determine, by majority vote, whether as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; or
- There is a proclaimed state of emergency, and the local agency has determined, by majority vote, that as a result of the emergency meeting in person would present an imminent risk to the health or safety of attendees.

AB 361 defines a "state of emergency" as a state of emergency proclaimed pursuant to Section 8625 of the California Emergency Services Act. Importantly, this includes the Governor's proclaimed state of emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

To continue to rely on the relaxed video/teleconferencing provisions, the local agency must reconsider the circumstances of the state of emergency and make the following findings by majority vote, *every 30 days*:

- The state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of members to meet safely in person; or
- State or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing.

The recommended finding under this option would be that "The Board, meeting during a proclaimed state of emergency, has determined by majority vote, pursuant to AB 361 subparagraph (B), that, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

While the Committee has the option of using teleconferencing, as was available prior to COVID restrictions, the Brown Act requires: 1) a quorum of the legislative body to participate from within the boundaries of the agency's jurisdiction, 2) the public agency to post notice of each teleconference location, and 3) the public be allowed to address the legislative body from each teleconference location. These requirements place a significant burden on both Board members and staff.

Action: Staff recommends two actions:

1) That the Board issue the following findings: (a) The Board has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency; and (b) As a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

2) Reaffirm Resolution 2022-01 from Jan. 6, authorize the Board and subordinate legislative bodies to conduct their meetings in accordance with remote participation by teleconference in the manner provided by Government Code section 54953, subd. (e) through July 8, and direct staff to continue providing video/teleconferencing provisions for all Fresno COG committee and Board meetings through July 8.

Quorum: Fowler entered; 12 members present representing 94.73% of the population.

After an opportunity for public comment, Mayor Yep (Kerman) moved, and Mayor Beltran (Parlier) seconded to continue issuing findings under AB 361 to allow remote attendance by Board members and the public for Board and committee meetings through June. Votes: 12 yes. Absent: Huron, Mendota, Orange Cove, Selma.

The motion passed.

II. PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS

A. Public Presentations

This portion of the meeting is reserved for persons wishing to address the Policy Board on items within its jurisdiction but not on this agenda. Note: Prior to action by the Policy Board on any item on this agenda, the public may comment on that item. Unscheduled comments may be limited to three minutes.

There were no public presentations.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:39 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Tony Baen

Tony Boren

<u>Public:</u> None

Staff:

Kai Han, Braden Duran, Kristine Cai, Robert Phipps, Les Beshears, Meg Prince, Moses Stites, Janelle Del Campo, Brenda Veenendaal.