

Applicant Information

Member Agency Name:

Member Agency Type:

City

County

Mailing Address:

Authorized Representative Name & Title:

Authorized Representative Email Address:

If this is a joint application, please list co-applicants (subconsultants)

If your agency is submitting multiple applications, please prioritize them below.

Number of Applications Submitted:

Application Priority (Ex. 1 of 3):

Subconsultant Information

Organization Name:

Organization Type:

CBO

Nonprofit Developer

For Profit Developer

Other:

Mailing Address:

Authorized Representative Name & Title:

Authorized Representative Email Address:

Project Information

Project Title:

Amount of REAP 2.0 funds requested for project:

Will other sources of funding be used to help deliver the project?

If yes, percent of funds from other sources compared to project total:

Is the applicant willing to receive a partial award (less than amount requested)? Yes No
If yes, what is the minimum amount of funding that is acceptable?

Project Schedule and Budget

Project Phase	Budget	Start Date	End Date	Tasks & Deliverables
Total:				

Project Description: Provide a description of the proposed project.

Project Location: Provide a description of the general location(s) of the proposed project. Project map may be attached. (optional upload)

Will you submit a map with your application?

Yes

No

If yes, please email your map with the project application.

Project Timeline: Can this project expend all REAP 2.0 funds by June 2026 as required?

Yes

No

Scoring:

Scores will be assigned on a 1 to 5 basis. Scoring committee scores will be averaged and converted to project rankings.

- 5: Exceptional evidence of meeting or exceeding requirements
- 4: Strong evidence of meeting requirements
- 3: Suitable evidence of meeting requirements
- 2: Limited evidence of meeting requirements
- 1: Weak evidence of meeting requirements
- 0: No evidence of meeting requirements

Weighting:

The scoring values for each factor were informed by public input received during the outreach process. Project applications must meet all REAP 2.0 objectives, receiving a 0 in one of those categories will result in automatic project disqualification.

Scoring Factor	Weight (%)	Max. Score
REAP 2.0 Objectives		
1. Accelerating Infill Development	0.27	27
2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	0.23	23
3. Reducing VMT	0.25	25
Subtotal	0.75	75
Primary Factors		
1. Housing Element Compliance	0.02	2
2. Transformative Planning and Implementation Activities	0.04	4
3. Public Outreach	0.03	3
4. Benefit to Disadvantage Populations	0.05	5
5. Significant Beneficial Impacts	0.04	4
6. California Planning Priorities	0.02	2
Subtotal	0.20	20
Secondary Factors		
1. Scope of Work and Budget	0.03	3
2. Project Risk Assessment	0.02	2
Subtotal	0.05	5
Total	1.00	100

Project Alignment with REAP 2.0 Objectives:

Please fill out the tables below to demonstrate how the proposed project adheres to all REAP 2.0 criteria. Please answer questions with concise sentences. 1-2 sentence answers are preferred but not required.

Objective 1: Infill Housing

Explain how the project accelerates infill development near jobs and other key destinations, supports housing choice and affordability, and effectively reduces VMT and greenhouse gas emissions.	
How does the project support affordable housing development programs – which may involve predevelopment costs (studies, land acquisition, entitlements, etc.) – that accelerate the supply of long-term affordable housing for lower and moderate-income households?	
Explain how the project will further future development near transit corridors (within ½ mile of transit)?	
Is the project located in a federally recognized Opportunity Zone as defined by the U.S. Department of Transportation?	Yes No

Objective 2: Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH)

Explain how the project combats discrimination, overcomes patterns of segregation, and fosters equitable and inclusive communities.

Explain how the project includes meaningful actions to address disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replace segregated living patterns with balanced living patterns, and transform racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity.

Explain how the project supports regional and local efforts to work toward ensuring all people have full and equal access to opportunities enabling them to lead healthy lives.

Explain how the project enhances housing mobility strategies that remove barriers to housing, housing-supportive infrastructure, increased transportation options, and affordability in areas of high opportunity and outside areas of concentrated poverty; or: Explain how the project improves place-based strategies to encourage community revitalization; or: Explain how the project protects existing residents from displacement and preserves housing choices and affordability in areas of lower- or moderate-opportunity and concentrated poverty.

Check the project's designation on on the TCAC/HCD opportunity map.

If the project is in a 'highest resource' or 'high resource' designation, how will the ultimate housing production open the high resource area to low- and moderate-income households and historically underserved communities?

If the project is in a 'moderate resource,' 'low resource,' or 'high segregation and poverty' designation, what community revitalization or other place-based strategies are in place or being considered in the area to enhance community vitality? If the project is located within a 'low resource' or 'high segregation and poverty' designation, this response must include a discussion of mitigation or anti-displacement measures for the ultimate housing production.

Objective 3: Reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)

Explain how the proposed use promotes development and housing production in infill locations consistent with the state's climate targets and goals discussed in the California Climate Change Scoping Plan.

Explain how the project is consistent with Fresno COG's 2022 RTP/SCS land use strategies and what performance indicators best align with your project and how.

Explain how the project supports new housing development and shifts travel away from driving by implementing or supporting pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and other alternative transportation programs.

Project Alignment with REAP 2.0 Primary Factors:

Explain how your proposed project complies with the six factors below as applicable.

Factor 1: Supporting Compliance with your Jurisdiction’s Housing Element for the 6th Cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment.

Factor 2: Transformative Planning and Implementation Activities (e.g., provides for housing, planning, or infrastructure investments supporting infill housing, and other actions that enable meeting housing goals that also result in per capita vehicle miles traveled reductions, including accelerating infill development, supporting residents through realizing multimodal communities, shifting travel behavior through reducing driving, and increasing transit ridership).

Factor 3: Public Outreach

1. Explain how the proposed project arose from or went through a public process to solicit community input; or If this is a planning project, explain how the scope of work includes a public process.

Factor 4: Benefit to Disadvantaged Populations.

Factor 5: Significant Beneficial Impacts

Metrics should be included when demonstrating Significant Beneficial Impact. Variables or targets may include but are not limited to benefitting households by income group; meeting and exceeding the housing production goals of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment; the number of Housing units anticipated and achieved (new construction, preservation/ conservation, and rehabilitation); density; infrastructure; infrastructure capacity and accessibility; community amenities; investments; Vehicle Miles Traveled reduction goals; regional and local equity policies; and GHG reduction goals.

1. Explain how the project leads to substantial changes in land use patterns and travel behaviors, demonstrated by:

- A rate of change compared to the baseline; or
- The magnitude of impact relative to variables or targets; or
- The proportion of need achieved; or
- The impact relative to past trends, policies, and practices; or a significant regionwide benefit that is not small in scope. Project contributes to spreading REAP 2.0 projects throughout the region to ensure a regionwide benefit.

Factor 6: California Planning Priorities

1. Explain how the project supports promotion of infill development and equity by rehabilitating, maintaining, and improving existing infrastructure that supports infill development and appropriate reuse and redevelopment of previously developed, underutilized land that is presently served by transit, streets, water, sewer, and other essential services, particularly in underserved areas, and preserves cultural and historic resources.
2. Explain how the project supports protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting, preserving, and enhancing the state's most valuable natural resources, including working landscapes such as farm, range, and forest lands; natural lands such as wetlands, watersheds, wildlife habitats, and other wildlands; recreation lands such as parks, trails, greenbelts, and other open space; and landscapes with locally unique features and areas identified by the state as deserving special protection.
3. Explain how the project encourages efficient development patterns by ensuring that any

<p>infrastructure associated with development does all of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses land efficiently; and • Is built adjacent to existing developed areas; and • Is located in an area appropriately planned for growth; and • Is in a location served by adequate transportation and other essential utilities and services; and • Minimizes ongoing costs to taxpayers. 	
---	--

Project Alignment with REAP Secondary Factors

<p>Factor 1: Project Scope of Work and Budget A scope of work, schedule and budget must be included as part of the grant application. Are these included in this application?</p>	<p>Yes No</p>
<p>Factor 2: Project Risk Assessment: Risks alone should not result in a deficiency but should be considered if they are unmitigated, not fully understood, or unmanageable. Capacity and project experience of the applicant(s) will be considered as part of the project risk assessment.</p> <p>1. How has the applicant considered risk in delivering the project within the required timeframe?</p>	

Letters of Support (optional upload to be included as an email attachment)

Resolution of Support from Fresno COG Policy Board Signature for

Authorized Representative

Name

Signature