

Implementation Guideline Recommendations

Marianne Kast:

- Annual progress reports of Measure C income, expenditures, planned future projects, updates on projects not yet completed, (not the glossy Measure C “aren’t we great” publication that has been produced in the past) with public review and comments encouraged. Evaluation should use the Guiding Principles.
- Public comment periods for projects before they are rolled out. Maybe there would be a \$\$ trigger to determine if a project should be reviewed for public comment or can be implemented without public comment. (i.e. more expensive projects would be subject to public comment). Projects should be analyzed using the Guiding Principles.
- An overall review of direction/all projects at least every 10 years in a 30 year measure.
- Continuous study/review group to look at transportation trends throughout the state/nation, to ask the question, “Are there new/better ways of doing what we do?”

Jenn Guerra:

- **Transparency:** Public reporting, easy to read dashboards, and independent audits.
- **Equity:** Fair funding for rural and smaller communities, with a guaranteed baseline for local roads.
- **Local Control:** Cities like Kingsburg and other small towns should have the flexibility to fund improvements they see as most critical.
- **Public Engagement:** Advisory panels, town halls, and plain language summaries of technical info.
- **Flexibility with Safeguards:** Mid term reviews and opportunities for public input before major reallocations.
- **Sustainability:** Support for green transportation, innovation, and pilot projects.

Dr. Amber Crowell

- Active community engagement when new reports are released or amendments are being proposed. Community engagement should be supported with ample notification of upcoming reports/events/meetings, interpretation and translation services, transportation to meetings or virtual meeting options, and educational sessions to understand reports and proposed amendments.
- If a 30 year plan is proposed, review should happen at least every 10 years.

Veronica Garibay:

In addition to and building off of authorizing legislation requirements, some feedback for consideration:

1. Public notification and opportunity for input.

- For biennial reviews and amendments to the plan, the public must be notified of said review and provided up to 60 days for public review and comment prior to a vote. Workshops shall be held to solicit public input on the east, west, and urban metro area prior to a public hearing held by FCOG for a vote.

- No amendment can be made without first giving members of the public an opportunity to weigh in.
- At the jurisdiction level

2. Review of plan for additions, deletions, improvements every 10 years regardless of length of measure. Public must be notified and provided with at least 60 days to review and comment. Workshops shall be held to solicit public input on the east, west, and urban metro area prior to a public hearing held by FCOG for a vote.

3. In addition to annual report and audit requirements - FCTA shall develop and implement a searchable public database that allows members of the public to be aware of how funds are utilized and how they align with guiding principles and any implementing guideline requirements.

- As part of this, develop a GIS map of investment by geographic location.

4. Citizen oversight committee - expand decision-making authorities.

5. Non-compliance consequences: return and / or withholding of funds, referral to public integrity unit, etc.

Larry Westerlund:

My implementation suggestion would be in the event that a 30 year measure is approved, there would be a review and evaluation at the 10 year mark and at the 20 mark. Part of the review and evaluation process would require at least two public meetings where communication from public would be received on the implementation of the current measure.

In the event that there was a 20 year measure approved there would be a evaluation review at the 15 year mark with again at least two required public meetings wherein the public could communicate their suggestions and criticism of the measure.

Nick Paladino:

Here are some ideas for the Measure C Implementation Guidelines:

- Continue the Citizen Oversight Committee with at least its current powers and responsibilities
- Have a review of the Expenditure Plan every ten years. This review could not change the major categories nor the allocations to them; however, the review could change the subcategories and their allocations. In the Regional Connectivity category, the review could add, delete, or modify any major regional project. The review could also modify the Implementation Guidelines.
- The review committee would have to be a mixture of jurisdiction staff and community interest groups/citizens. I think the RTP/SCS Roundtable is too large. For jurisdiction staff I propose the following:
 - City of Fresno

- City of Clovis
- County of Fresno
- representative of east side cities
- representative of west side cities
- member of FCOG staff
- member of the Citizen Oversight Committee
- I am uncertain about someone from Caltrans

I have no proposals for how to choose the citizen members of the committee.