

FRESNO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

2027 ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM — CYCLE 8

QUICK-BUILD PROJECT — SCORING SHEET

Project Name	_____
Applicant / Implementing Agency	_____
Reviewer	_____
Date	_____

Adopted Criterion	Points	Score	What to Evaluate for Quick-Build
Need	25		Evidence that the project will benefit people walking and biking, including students, older adults, and disadvantaged communities
Safety	20		Evidence that project can be implemented quickly to address an Urgent Safety need
Community Engagement (Initial)	8		Evidence of Community engagement in the initial planning of the project
Community Engagement (Planned/Ongoing)	8		Evidence of planned continuous community engagement for the duration of the project, including a process for testing project configurations and integrating community feedback
Interagency Support	5		Evidence of support from critical partners such as public works departments, transportation departments, transit agencies, emergency services, community groups, and business associations.
Project Flexibility	9		Evidence that the project scope is flexible and adjustable based on community testing and feedback.
Performance Metrics	7		Evidence of how the quick-build project's progress and performance will be measured.
Potential for Permanent Project	3		Evidence of how the quick-build project will provide the foundation for a potential permanent infrastructure project.
Funding Strategy	3		Evidence of a long-term funding strategy for a permanent project in place.
Maintenance Strategy	3		Evidence of a maintenance strategy in place.
Project Readiness	9		Project can be implemented quickly to address an immediate need

Score Summary	Maximum	Total Score
FINAL SCORE	100	_____ / 100

Quick-Build Review — Eligibility / Readiness (No Additional Points)

- ☐ Quick-Build project meets the CTC/FCOG definition and is an interim capital improvement furthering ATP goals.
- ☐ Project has independent utility and a complete PSR-equivalent description of scope, cost and schedule.
- ☐ Minimum six-month community testing period is proposed.
- ☐ Pre-installation and post-installation data collection is identified.
- ☐ Ongoing community engagement during the testing period is identified.
- ☐ Applicant has identified a feasible method for documenting and approving layout adjustments.
- ☐ Proposed delivery method is identified: Design-Build, JOC, Force Account/Agency Forces, or another authorized method.
- ☐ Environmental clearance/categorical exemption and other required readiness documentation are addressed.
- ☐ Required PPR/Part A4, local resolution, implementing-agency agreement (if applicable), committed-funds documentation, map/layout and cost estimate are included.
- ☐ Applicant acknowledges quarterly reporting, completion reporting and documentation of layout changes.

Reviewer Notes

Tie-breaking reminder: Under the adopted FCOG guidelines, if projects tie at the funding cutoff, FCOG considers project readiness first, then the highest-point scoring question, followed by the second-highest-point scoring question.